



October Action Report

www.freedomfirstsociety.org

October 2017

The UN — Freedom's Enemy

This institution was founded in the aftermath of two world wars to help shape this better future. It was based on the vision that diverse nations could cooperate to protect their sovereignty, preserve their security, and promote their prosperity....

[W]e helped build institutions such as this one to defend the sovereignty, security, and prosperity for all....

— President Donald Trump, addressing the UN General Assembly, 9-19-17

Correction, Please! No, the United Nations is not about *protecting* national sovereignty. It is the very

enemy of sovereignty.

The UN was created under false pretexts as a tool of Internationalist Insiders to build a totalitarian world government run by them. That evolutionary agenda is alive and well today.

Part of that long-term goal is to disarm the nations of the world, while arming the United Nations as a new superpower. According to *Freedom from War*, a document developed in 1961 by the Kennedy State Department, the transfer of arms to the United Nations is to occur in three stages:

In Stage III progressive controlled disarmament ... would proceed to a point where *no state would have the military power*

to challenge the progressively strengthened U.N. Peace Force. [Emphasis added.]

When Stage III was fully implemented, the United States would be forced to comply with UN decisions, (i.e., decisions of the Council on Foreign Relations [CFR] oligarchy that designed the UN).

During that same period, the Kennedy State Department also contracted for a classified

In This Report

- 1 The UN — Freedom's Enemy
- 2 Just Vote the Constitution
- 3 Grow to Win!
- 4 Save Our Constitution!



Attack on sovereignty: As President Trump addresses the General Assembly, a transfer of power (a.k.a. disarmament) is again on the UN's agenda. The U.S. State Dept.'s 1961 plan forecast a point when "*no state would have the military power to challenge the progressively strengthened U.N. Peace Force.*" In the CFR's April 1974 *Foreign Affairs*, State Dept. veteran Richard Gardner (CFR) brazenly supported a "piece by piece" approach to eroding national sovereignty.



study. In support of the study, a memorandum entitled *A World Effectively Controlled By the United Nations* candidly discussed the best strategy for establishing world government. The complete text of that shocking memorandum, authored by MIT professor Lincoln P. Bloomfield (CFR), appears as a “reference” on our website. (See “References” in our main menu.)

UN Architects Not Humanitarians

The argument of the United Nations’ founders that the world body would prevent wars and promote world peace is undermined by the fact that these Internationalists made sure that a cabal of criminal regimes, controlled by them, would dominate the United Nations Security Council and other UN institutions. So we have the “acceptable” criminals who are supposed to police the “rogue” criminals.

In addition, over many decades these Internationalist conspirators have persistently perverted U.S. power to undermine and betray regimes friendly to the U.S. and help totalitarians take over their nations. China is a prime example, and we could list many others.

The Betrayal of China

At the end of July 1946, President Truman’s Chief of Staff, General George C. Marshall, clamped an embargo on the sale of arms and ammunition to our World War II ally Chiang Kai-shek. Marshall would boast: “As Chief of Staff I armed 39 anti-Communist divisions, now with a stroke of the pen I disarm them.” Stockpiles of arms on their way to Chiang were actually destroyed in India. Meanwhile, the Soviets equipped Communist Mao Tse-tung with vast stores of U.S. military supplies Truman had provided Stalin for the assault on Japan.

Professor R. J. Rummel of the University of Hawaii estimated the death toll from the resulting Chinese genocide conservatively at more than 35 million deaths — approximately one of every 20 Chinese.

The “unfortunate publicity” of Chinese troops mowing down student protestors in the 1989 Tiananmen Square massacre caused nary a blip in U.S.-Chinese relations. Within a few weeks of that massacre, U.S. officials Lawrence Eagleburger (CFR, formerly of Kissinger Associates) and Brent Scowcroft (former chairman of the CFR’s membership committee)

would travel to China to reassure the Chinese leaders of continued U.S.-Chinese relations.

Some More Inconvenient History

Following General MacArthur’s brilliant Inchon Landing during the Korean War, U.S. led forces momentarily held the upper hand. Then the Red Chinese invaded Korea to protect the North Korean regime. They dared do so only because the Truman administration had prevented General MacArthur from taking out the Yalu river bridges.

So U.S. soldiers fought (and died against) the Communist Chinese, while carrying out a “police action” ordered by the UN. And later these Red Chinese invaders would be rewarded with a seat on the UN Security Council, whose mission ostensibly is to police the world. And the Trump administration would ask the Communist Chinese dictators for help in restraining North Korea. Yet, in his UN speech, President Trump protested a *socialist dictatorship in Venezuela*. Something smells!

Congress: Just Vote the Constitution!

This is a big day. This is a big day in the House of Representatives. Today, the people’s House passed all 12 funding bills on time.... This is the first time the House has done that since 2009.... We’ve done our job for the people, but more importantly we did our job the right way. We did it through regular order.

— Paul Ryan, Sep. 14, 2017

Correction, Please! Please! Please!

In his press conference, above, Paul Ryan gives the GOP credit for passing an *omnibus* appropriations bill (House Roll Call 528), much *earlier than normal*. This is not what is normally understood by regular order, nor what Ryan had promised in the past. As *The*



Wikipedia.org

World’s Co-policeman: Communist China now holds the presidency at the UN Security Council — the U.S. go-to body for CFR world order. Above “Tank man” protests the previous day’s 1989 Tiananmen Square massacre.

Hill (9-14-17) correctly reports:

The package included eight new bills, plus four previously passed appropriations bills that advanced through the House in July. Regular order for appropriations typically involved passing each of the bills individually, not in groups of 4 or 8.

At the same press conference, House Appropriations Chairman Rodney Frelinghuysen (R-NJ) added: “This is the next step in the process, but it is not the end. Funding these important federal responsibilities and keeping the government open is our constitutional duty to the people we serve, and I look forward to the final completion of all these critical bills.”

Such political statements cleverly ignore the source of the funds — the struggling American people. The pronouncements make it sound as though Congress funds these programs out of some mysterious slush fund, from a money tree, or perhaps even from the members’ own pockets.

Merely enabling the government to write bad checks to support the bloated federal monster is not what the American people need from Congress. What America desperately needs is action to bring government under control, and the House cannot use its power of the purse to do this by

passing omnibus spending bills.

After voting this past May against another such omnibus spending measure for the expiring fiscal year, Congressman Thomas Massie (R-KY) correctly stated:

House Leadership and the media have led the public to believe that passing one giant omnibus every year, at the last minute, is a legitimate way to fund the government and that anything else will result in a total government shutdown. Both are false. We should write, debate, amend, and pass 12 separate appropriations bills as the law prescribes, so that if any one bill fails to pass, only 1/12th of the Federal government shuts down.

Here’s What’s Next

This House bill is likely going nowhere, as it will not withstand a Democratic filibuster, and the Senate is not ready with its versions of the appropriations bills. So in early September both the House and the Senate approved a continuing resolution, signed by President Trump, funding the federal government through December 8th at pretty much FY2017 levels. (See House Roll Call 480 and Senate Vote 192.)

Judging from the recent past, a

likely scenario is that Congress will agree on an omnibus spending package with bipartisan support (read another compromise with socialists) before the December 8 deadline. To get Democratic support, the package would likely need to include increases to the spending caps imposed by the Budget Control Act of 2011.


All of this is a consequence of the big-government agenda currently driving the leadership and the majority in Congress.

Recommended actions: We have begun scoring the new 115th Congress on important roll calls, with an initial focus on unconstitutional spending. **Study** the scored votes and **share your approval or disapproval** with your representative. But don’t stop there! **Share your rep’s scorecard with other constituents** (print hard copy reports, use the Facebook share button, or simply share links with your comments). **Share** this *Action Report* and **build** local understanding of why and how we must return to the Constitution.

Grow to Win!

The window is fast closing on the opportunity to provide the American people with the leadership they desperately need. If you understand the difference between what Freedom First Society offers and what Establishment “conservatives” — both media personalities and politicians, with their no-win, there-is-no-Conspiracy agenda — are offering, now is the time to act.

Second Idaho rally: The “Jubilee” featured six breakout workshops on the Constitution. Left: FFS president, G. Vance Smith, is joined by volunteer Coordinator, Mrs. Julianne Young.





Save Our Constitution!

by Andrew Carver

Those of us who have taken on COS (Convention of States) arguments in public have clearly learned two things about COS activists: 1) their arguments in favor of a Constitutional convention (Con-con) are invariably terrible, full of false “facts” (usually about what George Mason or James Madison said) and faulty logic; yet also, 2) never do they shrink from being rude to opponents and using abusive ad hominem tactics — typically, in fact, their arguments rely on such abuse.

But despite COS’s rhetorical lameness, they *claim to have* a large “volunteer” army: According to the “Progress Update” they included in a recent email, they have 62,807 volunteers, and 2,085 district captains! On their website they *claim*: “We are building a political operation in over 40 states, recruiting 100 citizens who are ready to contact their state legislators in at least 75% of the state legislative districts.”

How do the opponents of Article V chicanery, with a significantly smaller army, out-battle such a host of volunteers? With 1) better arguments (that’s easy!); 2) a more virtuous cause; and 3) the conviction that if we fight valiantly for our godly Constitution, God will support us.

How do we fight during this lull in legislative sessions?

When there are not so many state-legislative emergencies demanding our attention, it takes more thought to understand what the best investment of our time is right now. We must divorce ourselves from “the tyranny of the urgent,” and devote time to the important — but not so attention-grabbing.

A most important task is to use the truth to educate our neighbors, friends, co-workers, and state legislators, and,

as we do, to look for opportunities to recruit some of these as reinforcements for the battle. In particular, we need to help our Republican friends see that they are being lied to by pseudo-conservatives such as the COS spokesmen (Mark Levin, Jim DeMint, et al.), whose “solutions” would not only legitimize — rather than rein in — federal overreach, but also, thru an Article V convention, put our Constitution in deadly peril.

Also, those of you who wish to be kept up to date with urgent battles, please sign up for our “No Con-con” email list. You can let me know at andrew.carver@freedomfirstsociety.org.

Recommended actions: Share our “Campaign Action Report” widely (also available online) and look for opportunities to recruit reinforcements; **use** our online resources, such as the “In a Nutshell, the Case Against a Con-Con” post, for the same objectives.

Action Summary

Note: Some requests below are ongoing from previous *Action Reports*.

1. **Share** recent FFS posts with prospects. **Follow up.** **Invite** good prospects to join FFS.
2. **Share** congressional scorecards for the current 115th Congress online with prospects. Or **share** printed copies.
3. **Use** our new DVD, “The Price of Liberty is Eternal Vigilance,” to recruit prospects.
4. **Share** the case against a Con-con with prospects. Look for opportunities to **recruit** new soldiers into the battle to Save Our Constitution!
5. **Contact legislators** in your state in opposition to *any* Con-con calls, current or future. In states that have passed BBA Con-con calls, work to **rescind** all of your state’s Con-con calls.



Available online: Support for our campaign to “Save the Constitution” from a modern-day Con-con.

With money tight all around, our best hope for expanding our immediate influence is to light a fuse so our website — particularly our congressional scorecard — can go “viral.”

Early on, we simply must depend on the help of FFS members in introducing the website, its posts, and our scorecard to others. And that means even those members who don’t normally spend much time online.

When sharing posts or scorecards, *it’s important that you add your comments and enthusiasm*. Which means you need to develop some enthusiasm yourself by looking at what the website, the posts, and our scorecard have to offer.

With the scored votes, be sure to click on the “Read More” buttons to discover the important perspective that sets FFS apart.

And the next step is to reinforce and capitalize on your investment through follow-up with personal contacts. Seek a reaction from those you have contacted by Facebook, email, or mail. And look for further individualized development opportunities.